

1

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/964,940	09/27/2001	Paul Portmann	33966	2200	
116	7590 11/06/2002				
PEARNE & GORDON LLP			EXAMINER		
526 SUPERIOR AVENUE EAST SUITE 1200			LUEBKE, RENEE S		
CLEVELAN	D, OH 44114-1484		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2833		
			DATE MAIL ED. 11/0//2002	DATE MAILED: 11/0//2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ţ,			
	09/964,940	PORTMANN	1			
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Renee S. Luebke	2833				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati		with the correspond nce ac	idress			
Peri d for R ply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE After six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may stion. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory of th	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered time ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	dy. communication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o			:			
24/	☐ This action is non-final.		ho morito is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the app						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	vithdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-11</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	veminer					
9) The specification is objected to by the E10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 September 2	xanılıler. 004 is/ərə⊹ ə\⊟ əccented or h\∑	ব objected to by the Exami	ner.			
10) Ine drawing(s) filed oil 21 September 20	on to the drawing(s) be held in ab	evance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.					
	The second second second second in Application No.					
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
			nal application).			
 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	4) Interv	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper I	No(s).			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page	948) 5) Notice	e of Informal Patent Application (

Application Number: 09/964,940

Art Unit: 2833

1. The drawings are objected to because the sectional figures should be properly cross-hatched to show the insulating and conducting materials.

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because the specification should not refer to specific claims. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claims 4-11 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, these claims have not been further treated on the merits.
- 4. Claims 1 and 3 are objected to because "the area" (claim 1, line 3) and "its transition" (claim 3, line 1) lack antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App.

Application Number: 09/964,940

Art Unit: 2833

1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 2 recites the broad recitation "a thermoplastic", and the claim also recites "especially an elastomeric material" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 7. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Novak. This switch comprises a switching element 45 with the top enclosed by an elastic diaphragm 52. The diaphragm also encloses contact surfaces (the tips of 46, 48) and tightly butts the housing 44. As noted in the Abstract the diaphragm is elastomeric.
- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The switches of Adams, and Bebie comprise diaphragms that enclose the all of the contact, in a manner similar to the present invention. The switch of Devore, et al. comprises a switching element similar to the present invention. The switch of Westmoreland comprises an elastic diaphragm that is prestressed, thereby pressing the contact areas together.

9. Any response to this action may be mailed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

Art Unit: 2833

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9318 or 308-7722 or 308-7724 (informal or draft communications should be clearly labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Plaza 4, Fourth Floor (Receptionist) 2201 South Clark Place, Arlington, Virginia.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Mrs. Renee Luebke whose telephone number is (703) 308-1511.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Paula Bradley, can be reached at (703) 308-2319.

Renee S. Luebke

Primary Patent Examiner

November 1, 2002